

The Congressional Digest

\$8.50 A YEAR

\$1.00 A COPY

FOUNDED 1921

PUBLISHED BY THE CONGRESSIONAL DIGEST CORPORATION

EDITORIAL OFFICES: 1631 K STREET, N.W., WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

A. GRAM ROBINSON, *Publisher*

N. T. N. ROBINSON, *3d, Editor*

*An Independent Monthly Featuring Controversies In Congress, Pro and Con.
Not An Official Organ, Not Controlled By Any Party, Interest, Class Or Sect.*

TITLE PAGE AND INDEX TO VOLUME THIRTY-FOUR

JANUARY TO DECEMBER

—1955—

NATIONAL ISSUES PRESENTED, *PRO AND CON*, DURING 1955, VOLUME 34, 1-12

	<i>Pages</i>		<i>Pages</i>
JANUARY, Vol. 34, No. 1	1-32	JUNE-JULY, Vol. 34, Nos. 6-7 . . .	161-192
The "Dixon-Yates" Controversy		Federal Control of Gas Producers	
FEBRUARY, Vol. 34, No. 2	33-64	AUG.-SEPT., Vol. 34, Nos. 8-9 . . .	193-224
Organization of New 84th Congress		Federal Subsidies for Higher Education	
MARCH, Vol. 34, No. 3	65-69	OCTOBER, Vol. 34, No. 10	225-256
Federal Health Reinsurance Plan		The Bricker Treaty Power Amendment	
APRIL, Vol. 34, No. 4	97-128	NOVEMBER, Vol. 34, No. 11	257-288
New National Military Reserve Plan		U.N. Charter Review Conference	
MAY, Vol. 34, No. 5	129-160	DECEMBER, Vol. 34, No. 12	289-314
President's Ten-Year Highway Program		President's Partnership Power Policy	

BULK COPY RATES: 5 @ 75c each; 10 @ 65c each; 25 @ 60c each; 50 @ 55c each; 100 and up @ 50c each (Postage Paid)
SUBSCRIPTION RATES: 1 yr., \$8.50; 2 yrs., \$16; 3 yrs., \$20. Foreign: 50c extra per yr. Back Copies: increase 25c ea. per yr.
ADDRESS ORDERS and make checks payable to: CONGRESSIONAL DIGEST CORP., 1631 K St., N.W., Washington 6, D. C.

Entered as Second Class Matter Sept. 26, 1921, at the Post Office at Washington, D. C., under Act of March 3, 1879. Additional entry as Second Class Matter at the Post Office at Baltimore, Md., under Act of March 3, 1879, authorized Aug. 22, 1927. Published every month, except July and August. Yearly Index to each volume, published with the December issue. Indexed in The Readers Guide and other Indexing Publications. Copyright 1955 by The Congressional Digest Corporation, Washington, D. C.

NUMBERS 1 TO 12

• 2 •

	PAGE
CONGRESS OF THE U. S.	
(See also Month in Congress)	
84th U. S. Congress, The New (February issue)	
Action Possible in New — re Dixon-Yates	5
The Committee System — at Work	47
Committee Jurisdiction and New Chairmen	50
State Delegations to 84th —	60
— Tackles the Reserve Problem	109
Action Taken By — Re Natural Gas	167
Steps Taken By —, 1949-1955, Re Bricker Amendment	230
Steps Taken By — Re Power Policy	290
CONSTITUTION OF THE U. S.	
Authority for National Reserve Plan	106
Aspects Re Natural Gas Producer Regulation	169
U. S. Constitution and Education	197
"Treaty-Power" Provisions	226
COON, SAM, U. S. Representative (Ore.-R.)	
Pro—Should the John Day Project Be Developed by Partnership?	309
COOPER, JOHN SHERMAN, U. S. Senator (Ky.-R.)	
Con—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract In the Public Interest? ..	29
D	
DEFENSE, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF	
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	110
DEUTSCH, EBERHARD P., Member, Committee on Peace and Law Through the U. N., American Bar Association	
Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	238
DIXON-YATES CONTROVERSY (January Issue)	
Foreword	1
Step By Step Developments	2
Action Possible In Congress	5
Authority and Terms of the Contract	6
Related Legal Issues Raised	8
Federal Agencies Directly Involved	10
The Tennessee Valley Authority	12
Other Federal Power Interests	13
Dixon-Yates Controversy, The (Pro and Con Discussion) ..	14
DOUGLAS, PAUL H., U. S. Senator (Ill.-D.)	
Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	175
DULLES, JOHN FOSTER, U. S. Secretary of State	
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	235
(See also November issue)	
DUNCAN, JOHN V., Chairman, International Law Committee Association of the New York City Bar	
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	239
E	
EDUCATION	
(See Higher Education)	
EICHELBERGER, CLARK M., Director, American Association for the U. N.	
Con—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now?	281
EIGHTY-FOURTH CONGRESS, ORGANIZATION OF (February Issue)	
Foreword	33
State of the Union, by President Eisenhower	35
Comment on President's Message	44
The Committee System—Congress At Work	47
The Full Legislative Process	49

	PAGE
Committee Jurisdiction and New Chairmen	50
The Two Major Political Parties	58
State Delegations to 84th Congress	60
EISENHOWER, DWIGHT D., President of the U. S.	
State of the Union Message	35
Health Message	69
Presents Plan Re Military Reserve	103
Details of Plan Re Highway Program	139
ELICKER, PAUL E., Executive Secretary, National Association of Secondary-School Principals	
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	123
F	
FAULKNER, EDWIN J., Member, Insurance Committee, The Chamber of Commerce of the U. S.	
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal	83
FEDERAL AGENCIES DIRECTLY INVOLVED (DIXON-YATES)	10
FEDERAL AGENCIES INVOLVED (POWER POLICY)	292
FEDERAL AID, EXTENT OF (HIGHWAYS)	133
FEDERAL HEALTH SERVICES	76
FEDERAL INSURANCE ACTIVITIES	78
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION	
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	174
Pro—Should The Hell's Canyon Project Proceed as Licensed? (See also January issue)	300
FEDERAL POWER INTERESTS, OTHER	13
FERGUSON, HOMER L., U. S. Senator (Mich.-R.)	
Pro—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? ..	30
FLEMMING, ARTHUR S., Director of Defense Mobilization	
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	118
FORDHAM, DR. JEFFERSON B., Dean, University of Pennsylvania Law School	
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	245
FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS' HEALTH PROGRAMS	79
FOREIGN POLICY	
(See Bricker Treaty-Power Amendment)	
(See United Nations)	
FULBRIGHT, J. W., U. S. Senator (Ark.-D.)	
Pro—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? ..	20
FURCOLO, FOSTER, Former U. S. Representative (Mass.-D.)	
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education?	220
G	
GOLDWATER, BARRY, U. S. Senator (Ariz.-R.)	
Pro—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? ..	24
GORE, ALBERT, U. S. Senator (Tenn.-D.)	
Con—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? ..	25
GRISWOLD, DR. ERWIN N., Dean, Harvard University Law School	
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	247

GROSS, ERNEST A., Former Deputy, U. S. Representative to the U. N.	PAGE
Con—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now?	277

H

HARRIS, OREN, U. S. Representative (Ark.D.)	
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	176

HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF	
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal	80

HEALTH RE-INSURANCE PROPOSAL, PRESIDENT'S (March Issue)	
Foreword	67
Origin and Development of Plan	68
The President's Health Message	69
Re-Insurance—What It Is	70
The Administration's Plan—The Bills	71
Existing Voluntary Systems	73
Growth and Extent of Protection	75
The Health Services—Local, State, Federal	76
Other Federal Insurance Activities	78
Foreign Governments' Health Programs	79
The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal (Pro and Con Discussion)	80

HELL'S CANYON PROJECT	295
(See also Power Policy)	

HESELTON, JOHN W., U. S. Representative (Mass.R.)	
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal	82

HIGHER EDUCATION, FEDERAL SUBSIDY FOR (August-September Issue)	
Foreword	193
U. S. Role In Higher Education, 1785-1955	195
The U. S. Constitution and Education	197
The Scholarship Situation Today	198
How Colleges Are Now Financed	199
Related Legislative Proposals, 1946-1955	202
Opposing Views on College Attendance	204
Dr. Douglas Bush Dr. Francis H. Horn	
Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? (Pro and Con Discussion)	206

HIGHWAY PROGRAM, THE CONTROVERSY OVER THE PRESIDENT'S NEW TEN-YEAR (May Issue)	
--	--

Foreword	131
Review of Federal Aid, 1912-1954	132
Extent of Federal Aid Today	133
Survey of Current Problems	135
Action Taken By the Administration	138
Details of the President's Plan	139
Proposals Compared	141
Issues Involved in the Controversy	143
Is the President's New Ten-Year Highway Program Sound? (Pro and Con Discussion)	144

HILL, LISTER, U. S. Senator (Ala.D.)	
Con—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest?	21

HOBBS, OVETA CULP, Secretary, U. S. Health, Education and Welfare	
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal	80

HOLDEN, JOHN R., National Legislative Director, American Veterans of World War II	
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	116

HOLMAN, FRANK E., Former President, American Bar Association	
Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	242

HORN, DR. FRANCIS H., President, Pratt Institute	PAGE
Opposing Views on College Attendance	204

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, U. S.	
(See Congress of the U. S.)	

HUGHES, ROWLAND R., Director of the Budget	
Pro—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest?	14

HUMPHREY, GEORGE, U. S. Secretary of the Treasury	
Pro—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound?	144

I

INDEPENDENT NATURAL GAS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA	
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	184

INDUSTRY, NATURAL GAS	165
-----------------------	-----

INTERIOR, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE	
(See January and December issues)	

J

JOHN DAY PROJECT	297
(See also Power Policy)	

K

KEFAUVER, ESTES, U. S. Senator (Tenn.D.)	
Con—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest?	15

KETCHAM, OMAR B., Legislative Director, The Veterans of Foreign Wars	
Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	252

KNOWLAND, WILLIAM F., U. S. Senator (Cal.R.)	
Con—An Appraisal of the U. N. Record, 1945-1955	269

KOHLER, WALTER J., Governor of Wisconsin	
Pro—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound?	156

L

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS	
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	253
Con—Is a United Nation's Charter Review Conference Advisable Now?	287

LEGAL CASES	
State of Wisconsin Vs. Phillips Petroleum	171
Missouri Vs. Holland	232
U. S. Vs. Curtiss-Wright Corp.	232
U. S. Vs. Pink	232
Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. Vs. Sawyer	233
Sei Fujii Vs. California	233
Rice Vs. Sioux City Memorial Park	233

LEHMAN, HERBERT H., U. S. Senator (N. Y.D.)	
Con—Should the Niagara Project Be Developed by Private Enterprise?	303

LAIRD, MELVIN R., U. S. Representative (Wisc.R.)	
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal	81

LODGE, HENRY CABOT, JR., U. S. Representative to the United Nations	
Pro—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now?	279

	PAGE
Q	
McCOLLUM, L. F., President, Continental Oil Co. Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	188
McNAMARA, FRANCIS J., Veterans of Foreign Wars Pro—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now?	284
McNARY, WILLIAM S., Chairman, Council On Government Relations, American Hospital Association Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal.	94
M	
MACDONALD, TORBERT H., U. S. Representative (Mass.-D.) Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	181
MAGNUSON, WARREN C., U. S. Senator (Wash.-D.) Con—Should the Hell's Canyon Project Proceed as Licensed?	301
MANION, DR. CLARENCE, Former Dean, University of Notre Dame Law School Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	248
MANPOWER (See Military)	
MEDICAL (See Health)	
METHODIST BOARD OF WORLD PEACE Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	125
MILES, CLARENCE R., Legislative Dept. U. S. Chamber of Commerce Pro—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now?	282
MILITARY FORCES, DISPOSITION OF	102
MILITARY RESERVE PLAN, THE PRESIDENT'S NEW (April Issue)	
Foreword	99
Manpower Problems in the Past	100
Present Procurement Policies	101
Disposition of U. S. Forces Today	102
The President Presents His Plan	103
Outline of the President's Proposal	104
Constitutional Authority for NRP	105
Training Programs Under NRP	107
Congress Tackles the Reserve Problem	109
The President's New Military Reserve Plan (Pro and Con Discussion)	110
MILLER, WILLIAM E., U. S. Representative (N. Y.-R.) Pro—Should the Niagara Project Be Developed By Private Enterprise?	302
MONTH IN CONGRESS, THE	65, 97, 129, 161
MORSE, WAYNE, U. S. Senator (Ore.-D.) Con—Should the Hell's Canyon Project Proceed as Licensed?	299
MURPHY, D. D., President, The National Association of Insurance Commissioners Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal.	93
MURPHY, ROBERT W., General Counsel, Borg-Warner Corp. Con—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education?	219

	PAGE
N	
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal.	93
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MANUFACTURERS Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	182
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECONDARY-SCHOOL PRINCIPALS Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	123
NATIONAL COAL ASSOCIATION Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	187
NATIONAL GRANGE Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	119
NATURAL GAS PRODUCERS FROM FEDERAL CONTROL, THE QUESTION OF EXEMPTING (June-July Issue)	
Foreword	163
Progress of Gas as a Utility	164
The Gas Industry Today	165
Action Taken By Congress to Date	167
The Constitutional Aspects	169
The Natural Gas Act of 1938	170
Effect of the "Phillips Case"	171
Legislation to Exempt Producers	172
Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? (Pro and Con Discussion)	174
NEUBERGER, RICHARD L., U. S. Senator (Ore.-D.) Con—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound? ...	155
Con—Should the John Day Project Be Developed by Partnership?	309
NIAGARA FALLS PROJECT	296
(See also Power Policy)	
P	
"PHILLIPS CASE," EFFECT OF THE	171
PHILLIPS, DR. CHARLES F., President, Bates College Con—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education?	209
POWER POLICY, THE CONTROVERSY OVER (December Issue)	
Foreword	289
Steps Taken By Congress	290
The Power Picture Today	291
Federal Agencies Involved	292
Evolution of Power Policy	293
Three Major Projects In Controversy	295
A. The Hell's Canyon Project	295
B. The Niagara Project	296
C. The John Day Project	297
Should the Hell's Canyon Project Proceed as Licensed? (Pro and Con Discussion)	298
Should the Niagara Project Be Developed by Private Enterprise? (Pro and Con Discussion)	302
Should the John Day Project Be Developed by Partnership? (Pro and Con Discussion)	308
POWER POLICY (See also Dixon-Yates)	
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (See Eisenhower)	

	PAGE		PAGE
R		TRUMAN COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION	
REINSURANCE—WHAT IT IS	70	Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education?	214
RESERVES (See Military)		TRUMAN, HARRY S., Former President of the United States	
REUBENAUER, MRS. OSCAR M., Director, The League of Women Voters		Pro—An Appraisal of The U. N. Record, 1945-1955	268
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	253	U	
RILEY, GEORGE D., Legislative Representative, American Federation of Labor		U. N. CHARTER REVISION CONFERENCE, THE PROPOSED	
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	121	(November Issue)	
ROADS (See Highways)		Foreword	257
ROGERS, WALTER, U. S. Representative (Texas-D.)		Methods of U. N. Charter Revision	258
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	178	Steps Leading to the U. N.	259
ROOSEVELT, MRS. ELEANOR, Former U. S. Representative, U. N. General Assembly		Basic Structure of the U. N.	260
Con—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now?	275	The U. S. and the Regional Arrangements	262
		The U. S. Senate and Charter Review	263
S		Nature of Proposals to Amend Charter	265
SCHOLARSHIPS (See Higher Education)		A. An Appraisal of the United Nations Record, 1945-1955 (Pro and Con Discussion)	268
SCHWNER, ERRETT P., U. S. Representative (Kan.-R.)		B. Is a United Nations Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? (Pro and Con Discussion)	274
Con—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education?	207	UNITED STATES	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (See January Issue)		Disposition of ——— Military Forces Today	102
SENATE, U. S. (See Congress of the U. S.)		——— Role In Higher Education, 1785-1955	195
SICILIANO, ROCCO C., Assistant Secretary of Labor		Types of Foreign Agreements	227
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	120	——— and the U. N. Treaties	228
SMITH, G. KERRY, Exec. Secretary, Association for Higher Education, National Education Association		——— and the Regional Arrangements	262
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education?	208	U. S. ATTORNEY GENERAL (See Brownell, Herbert)	
SMITH, WALTER BEDELL, Gen., U. S. A. (Ret.), Commissioner, National Security Training Commission		U. S. CHAMBER OF COMMERCE (See Chamber of Commerce of the U. S.)	
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	122	U. S. COMPTROLLER GENERAL (See Campbell, Joseph)	
SPRINGER, WILLIAM L., U. S. Representative (Ill.-R.)		U. S. CONSTITUTION (See Constitution of the U. S.)	
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal	88	U. S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES (See Congress of the U. S.)	
STATE, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF		U. S. SENATE (See Congress of the U. S.)	
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	235	UTILITIES (See Dixon-Yates) (See Natural Gas) (See Power Policy)	
(See also November Issue)		V	
STEELMAN, JOHN R., Former Presidential Assistant, and Chairman, Scientific Research Board		VANIK, CHARLES A., U. S. Representative (Ohio-D.)	
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education?	218	Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control?	177
STEPHENS, MRS. WALDO E., Director, National Board, League of Women Voters		VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS	
Con—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now?	287	Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted?	252
		Pro—Is a United Nations Charter Review Conference Advisable Now?	284
T		W	
TVA (See Power Policy)		WEEKS, SINCLAIR, U. S. Secretary of Commerce	
THOMPSON, FRANK, JR., U. S. Representative (N. J.-D.)		Pro—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound?	146
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education?	206	WILSON, CHARLES E., U. S. Secretary of Defense	
THURMOND, J. STURM, U. S. Senator (S. C.-D.)		Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	110
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	111	WOLVERTON, CHARLES A., U. S. Representative (N. J.-R.)	
TREATIES (See Bricker Treaty-Power Amendment)		Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal	84
		Z	
		ZIMMERMAN, GORDON, Legislative Representative	
		Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan	119